

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/713,603	09/713,603 11/15/2000		Adam Coyle		5258	
20350	7590	09/24/2003				
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP. TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER				EXAMINER		
EIGHTH FL		ROCENTER	AKERS, GEOFFREY R			
SAN FRAN	CISCO, C	CA 94111-3834	<b>\</b>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3624		
				DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

H

<b>V</b>		Application No. Applicant(s)							
Office Action Summary		09/7/3603 Coule							
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Alegrs,	9	3624					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet wit	th the corresp		i				
Period fo		_							
	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE	— WONTH	(S) FROM					
THE M	IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be evailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	no event however may a ren	h, he timely filed s	fter SIX (6) MONTHS (	from the				
mailing o	date of this communication.								
- If NO pe	priod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within to priod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply	and will expire SIX (6) MONTH	S from the mailing	date of this communic	ation.				
- Failure t	o reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause t ly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dete of	the application to become ABAN	NDONED (35 U.S.	C. § 133).					
	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	•		·	<b>`</b>				
Status	7	1/12/02							
1) 🖳	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	110/07			•				
2a) 🗆	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This ac	tion is non-final.							
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.								
	ion of Claims	3/10 434/10/ 1000 011	,						
	Claim(s) / 3, 5-/2	14-19,21-2	3 is/are	pending in the a	application.				
	a) Of the above, claim(s)								
	Claim(s)				,				
6)[]	Claim(s) /, 3, 5-12, /	4-19 21-2		s/are rejected.					
				s/are objected t	0				
	Claim(s)			•					
	Claims	are subje	ect to restric	don and/or elect	ion requirement.				
• • • —	tion Papers								
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)∟	The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  The proposed drawing correction filed on  is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner								
11) 📙	The proposed drawing correction filed on		approved	b) disapprove	d by the Examiner				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply				:				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exan	niner.	:		in in section in the section of the				
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.	i	_					
a) □	All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:		1		une clil Non				
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ive been received.		ELL CHARL	out and the prior				
:	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents ha		i		,				
;	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bur</li> </ol>	documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	n received in a)).	this National St	age				
*Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of t								
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.	.S.C. § 119(	e).					
	The translation of the foreign language provision								
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.	.S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121.					
Attachm		🗖 .							
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary							
_	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	5) Notice of Informal Po	araur Abblication (	F10-132)					
ລາ i imf∘	Unitiation Disclosure Statement(3) (FTO 1443) Fapel NO(5).	υ, <sub>1</sub> υποι.							

Application/Control Number: 09/713,603 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Appeal Brief

- 1. This action is issued in response to applicant's Appeal Brief(Paper #12) filed 7/12/03.
- 2. Prosecution is reopened.
- 3. Claims 1,3,5-12,14-19,21-23 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1,3,5-12,14-19,21-23 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Chaum(US Pat. No: 6,434,238) in view of Dorf(US Pat. No: 6,000,608) in view of Walker(US Pat. No: 6,338,049) and further in view of Novogod(US Pat. No:6,367,693).
- 6. As per claims 1,3,5-12,14-19, 21-23 Chaum teaches a stored value card system which comprises a card issuing institution(Abstract)(Fig 1/101) and a point of sale retail establishment(Fig 1/104). Chaum also teaches the card being useable for purchases(col 5 lines 10-40) and teaches reporting, managing and monitoring financial investments in an array of accounts(subaccounts) within a client asccount(Abstract)(Fig 1)(Fig 3-14)(col 3 line 6-col 5 line 59). Dorf teaches a card identifier associated with each card and assigned by the issuing

Application/Control Number: 09/713,603

Art Unit: 3624

institution(col 4 line 36-col 5 line 14). Dorf teaches a reloadable smart card(col 5 lines 66-67). Dorf further teaches an intelligent(smart) card(col 10 lines 49-64) which can be used as an online debit card with a sponsor bank(Fig 1/102) which can be used to transfer funds for any purpose including money orders. Dorf further teaches the use of loyalty cards which are not redeemable for cash(col 9 line 33-col 10 line 6). Dorf also teaches the card identifier being encoded on a magnetic strip(col 4 lines 36-46) and a (POS) reader terminal for the card(col 4 line 25-35) and which accept the card for transactions (col 4 lines 46-67) and engaging a sponsor bank with a master account through the central processor and the banking network which permits a merchant to add value(refunds) and loyalty points to a subaccount card(col 5 lines 4-14). Walker teaches a system for issuing traveler's checks(negotiable payment drafts)(col 2 lines 51-56) at a user's home or business(col 2 line 49-col 3 line 10) paid by credit card(Figs 1-6) and Novogod teaches a system for requesting and dispensing negotiable instruments(Abstract)(Fig 13-18)(col 2 line 11-col 3 line 45)(col 4 line 14-col 6 line 52). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Chaum in view of Dorf to teach the above. The motivation to combine is to teach a multifunction card system composed of a gift certificate card, a prepaid phone card, a loyalty card and a medical information card as enunciated by Dorf(col 3 lines 9-64). It also would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Chaum in view of Dorf in view of Walker to teach part of the disclsure. The motivation to combine is to teach a multifunction card system which may be used to obtain bearer negotiable payment draft traveler's checks at a user's home or business as enunciated by Walker(col 1 lines

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/713,603

Art Unit: 3624

41-53). It also would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to

Page 4

combine Chaum in view of Dorf in view of Walker and further in view of Novogod to teach all

of applicant's discloure. The motivation to combine is to teach a multifunction card system which

which enables a customer to request and dispense negotiable instruments(bank check's money

orders and traveler's checks(Abstract)) through which a bank customer can request from one

device that the negotiable instrument be printed and dispensed to another device as enunciated by

Novogod(col 2 lines 6-10) and which may even be dispensed to someone other than the bank

customer(col 6 lines 38-52) who may maintain a subaccount where the PIN may be selected by

the principal(col 6 lines 48-52).

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE NON-FINAL.

The following reference not relied upon in the rejection is nevertheless considered relevant to

applicant's invention and is entered as part of the record:

Veeneman(US Pat. No: 5,500,514) teaches an apparatus for generating negotiable gift certificates

\*

8. Questions concerning this communication should be specifically addressed to the primary

examiner of record, Dr. Geoffrey Akers, P.E., who can be reached between 6:30 AM and 5:00

PM Monday through Friday at 703-306-5844.

**GRA** 

September 20, 2003

DR. GEOFFREY R. AKERS. P.E.

PRIMARY EXAMINED